Exhibit 4 (Filed Under Seal)

```
1
            UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                  DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 4
     In Re Bard IVC Filters Products
    Liability Litigation
 5
 6
                         No. MD-15-02641-PHX-DGC
7
 8
9
10
        DO NOT DISCLOSE - SUBJECT TO FURTHER
                CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
11
      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JOSHUA RIEBE, MD
12
              TAKEN AT: Radisson Hotel
13
            LOCATED AT: 2040 Airport Drive
                    Green Bay, WI
14
                    April 4, 2017
15
               10:09 a.m. to 2:15 p.m.
16
              REPORTED BY ANITA K. FOSS
           REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 yes.
- Q. For example, have you ever put in any --
- any Cook products before?
- 4 A. I have put in Cook products before.
- 5 Q. Have you ever put in any Bard products
- 6 before?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Any other types of filters that you
- 9 recall implementing?
- 10 A. Vena Tech. I don't know the major
- corporation, but I recall that name as well.
- Q. Have you ever been a consultant for any
- of the IVC filter companies?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever been involved in any type
- of studies involving IVC filters?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. When you were in medical school, I assume
- that you learned risk-benefit profiles for IVC
- filters; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 23 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me what -- first
- of all, what is a risk-benefit profile?

- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that? I was
- 3 distracted.
- 4 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 5 Q. Sure. That's okay. Would you agree that
- 6 you need complete and accurate information
- 7 regarding a filter from the manufacturer to help
- 8 conduct a risk-benefit analysis?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 11 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 12 O. And if there are risks that are not
- disclosed or the true risks are not disclosed, then
- you cannot conduct a -- conduct a proper
- risk-benefit analysis for the patient; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 18 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 19 Q. Is part of that analysis determining
- whether a patient should receive a permanent or
- 21 retrievable filter?
- A. Can you be more specific?
- Q. Sure. When you're evaluating a specific
- 24 patient and implanting a specific device in a
- patient, would that -- would part of that analysis

- 1 higher failure rates than other devices?
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 5 Q. Would you want to know if the rates of
- 6 certain adverse events are substantially higher
- 7 from one filter versus another?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 10 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 11 Q. Would you want to know if the company had
- concerns about the efficacy of its own filter?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 15 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Would you want to know if a newer, safe
- 17 filter was available for use?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And by the way, if there are objections,
- and there inevitably will be, we just need to make
- sure we don't talk over each other. So she's
- allowed to object, but you can continue to give
- your answer, okay?
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. Would you want to know if

- 1 Bard itself internally deemed the Recovery filter
- 2 to have unacceptable risks?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 5 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 6 Q. Would you want to know if Bard, even
- 7 today, does not understand the root cause of why
- 8 its filters are migrating?
- 9 MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 11 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Would you want to know if they, even
- today, did not understand the root cause of why
- their filters are perforating?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 17 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Or tilting?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Same objection.
- 21 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Or fracturing?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Same objection.
- 25 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q. Would you want to know if a company did
- 2 not have a good understanding of long-term
- performance of its retrievable filters?
- 4 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 6 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 7 Q. Would you want to know if a company's
- 8 lack of understanding of the dynamics of the vena
- 9 cava impacted its ability to test the filter?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to form.
- 12 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Would these things we just discussed
- inform your risk-benefit analysis?
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I don't understand the
- 17 phrasing of that question.
- 18 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 19 Q. Sure. The things that you mentioned that
- you would like to know, if you did know those
- things, would that be helpful for you to discuss a
- risk-benefit analysis?
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q. Okay. And they would be important in
- 2 terms of looking at patient safety; right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 5 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Would you expect a filter to be properly
- 7 tested for safety prior to introduction for the
- 8 market for use in human beings?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what beta testing is?
- 11 A. I've heard the term. I don't know the
- 12 specifics.
- Q. Okay. What is your understanding of what
- 14 beta testing is?
- 15 A. I know it's a test that exists.
- O. You don't know how it works?
- 17 A. I don't know the specifics. I've heard
- 18 the term.
- Q. I'll just move on. Okay. We talked a
- little bit about sales reps, but I just want to
- make sure that I've exhausted this, and I'll move
- on quickly, okay. The -- I just want to make sure,
- do you interact with sales reps from companies
- whose filters you use, even today?
- A. I don't understand how you phrased it.

- 1 centered upon deployment."
- 2 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. That's good enough. And if you could,
- 4 I'm looking at the top of this exhibit, and this
- 5 is -- do you see that it's from a Janet Hudnall to
- 6 a David Rauch?
- 7 A. Can you point?
- 8 Q. I can. Right there.
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And the date of this is February 26,
- 11 2004?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. You can move on to the next
- exhibit. Would you have expected Bard to tell you
- if they were concerned about migration problems to
- the point that they were going to actually put that
- 17 particular filter on hold? In other words, a
- 18 silent recall?
- MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
- 21 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. So if this company was so concerned about
- migration that it was considering putting it on
- hold, in other words, recalling it, you wouldn't
- want to know that as a doctor?

- MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- THE WITNESS: I would want to know that.
- 3 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Okay. And would you expect Bard to
- 5 understand the root cause of why it would be
- 6 migrating and causing deaths?
- 7 MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
- 9 (Exhibit 917 marked for identification.)
- 10 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 11 Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit No. 917.
- 12 I'll just represent to you that this was a
- memorandum from a Doug Uelmen, E-U-L-A-N, February
- 14 13, 2004, regarding a filter meeting of
- February 12th of 2004. And if you could, I'd like
- 16 you to turn to the third page of this exhibit. And
- on number eight.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. I'll just represent -- I'll just first
- represent to you that there was a meeting that this
- represents. And if you go down to number eight, if
- you could just read that out loud, please.
- A. Number eight. "The team discussed a
- threshold level for migration and agreed that if a
- migration requiring surgical intervention is

- 1 confirmed during the course of this investigation,
- the Recovery filters will be placed on hold pending
- 3 the outcome of the investigation."
- 4 MS. DAVIS: Objection, form and
- 5 foundation.
- 6 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 7 Q. So if Bard determined that migration was
- 8 a significant problem, you would want to know that
- 9 as a doctor, wouldn't you, to know that they were
- 10 putting this on hold pending an outcome of an
- 11 investigation?
- MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Okay. If we turn to the next page, we
- 16 already talked about you indicating that you would
- want to understand if Bard did not understand the
- 18 root cause. Could you read number nine, please?
- 19 A. Number nine. "Coordinate the review of
- all data to determine root cause/most probable
- cause using application problem-solving tools. A
- meeting will be scheduled to conduct this review,
- the time and place to be determined during a
- subsequent team meeting. Attendees will include
- the BPV investigation team along with" --

- 1 Q. That's okay. All right.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to form.
- 3 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. And again, the date of this was
- 5 February 12th of 2004; do you see that on the
- 6 front?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Were you ever notified by anyone
- 9 at Bard that as of May -- I'm sorry, as of
- 10 April 7th of 2005, that they were going to be
- discontinuing this product?
- 12 A. I was not.
- Q. Would you have wanted to know that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And why?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 (Exhibit 918 marked for identification.)
- 18 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 19 Q. I'm showing you Exhibit No. 918. And
- I'll just represent to you that this is an e-mail
- from a Jack Sullivan, who was in sales, to a Janet
- Hudnall, who was the director of marketing. And
- the subject says "FAQ and answers." Do you see
- that at the top?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And the date of this is 7/21/2005?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I'm just going to come down to the
- 4 part where it says "lastly." And do you see where
- 5 it says -- I'm just going to read this. It says,
- 6 "Lastly, it was a little weird being on calls with
- 7 Sean today and watching him sell the removability
- 8 of Recovery when I know we aren't going to have it
- 9 for much longer." Do you see that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Then it says, "Is there anything we can
- do now to help these guys? They are out trying to
- hit a number, and we will be changing the device
- 14 soon." Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Move to the next one. And you were not
- aware of that; correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. I've asked you this before, but I'm going
- to ask a little different way. Would you have
- 21 expected Bard to have done a safety study on the
- 22 Recovery filter?
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 25 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:

- Q. And why would that be important to you?
- A. We like to use safe equipment on our
- ³ patients.
- 4 (Exhibit 919 marked for identification.)
- 5 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 6 Q. Showing you what's been marked 919. And
- 7 I'll just represent to you that this is something
- 8 called internal question and answer. It says C.R.
- 9 Bard Recovery vena cava filter, version August,
- 10 2004. Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm just going to -- it says on the
- top, it says, "Internal Q and A to be used,
- 14 approved by approved corporate spokespeople to
- respond consistently to inquiries from media. Not
- to be handed out externally to any audiences." Do
- you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to turn to page 3 of this. And
- if you could read number six for us, please.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 24 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. The question and the answer.

- 1 know that the reports of death, filter migration,
- 2 IVC perforation and filter fracture with Recovery
- were four and even sometimes five times higher than
- 4 all other filters on the market?
- 5 MS. DAVIS: Objection, form, lack of
- 6 foundation.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Can you rephrase that?
- 8 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 9 Q. Sure. Would it have been important for
- 10 Bard to tell you that their filters were
- 11 fracturing, migrating, and killing people at four
- to five times greater rates than all other filters
- on the market?
- MS. DAVIS: Objection, form, lack of
- 15 foundation.
- THE WITNESS: Four and five times a small
- 17 number is still a small number. However, absolute
- data and rigorous analysis of the numbers would be
- 19 important, yes.
- 20 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. And so you would expect Bard to analyze
- those and at least come to a root cause analysis,
- wouldn't you?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.

- 1 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 2 Q. And you would want to know what the root
- 3 cause analysis is so you could help make an
- 4 understanding to the patient of what the safety of
- 5 this device would be?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form.
- 8 (Exhibit 921 marked for identification.)
- 9 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 10 Q. I'm showing you Exhibit 921. And you
- indicated that you were interested in seeing
- 12 numbers. This is a memo on August 3rd of 2005, so
- within a couple months of the time you actually
- inserted the filter into my client. And this is
- called IVC Recovery Filter Adverse Events Executive
- 16 Summary; do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you see that actually lists
- 19 all the different migrations and then what happened
- from those migrations?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you see that there's
- deaths, and there's also everything from migration
- of the filter encased in large thrombi, to
- ²⁵ fatalities?

- 1 A. Yes.
- MS. DAVIS: Objection to the form and
- 3 lack of foundation to all these questions regarding
- 4 this Exhibit 941.
- 5 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 6 Q. If you could, I'd like you to look at the
- 7 chart where it says "compare MAUDE data for IVC
- 8 filter fatalities." Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see that the SNF, which I'll
- 11 represent to you is the Simon Nitinol filter that
- was the permanent filter that preceded Recovery by
- 13 Bard, how many fatalities were there with the SNF?
- MS. DAVIS: Same objection.
- THE WITNESS: It's reported as
- 16 zero percent.
- 17 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Okay. And migration, what is it reported
- 19 as?
- 20 A. 0.0027 percent.
- Q. So that would be less than one percent;
- 22 correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. And under Recovery, what's
- the percentage of fatalities?

- 1 next page, excuse me, under B. I'm sorry, so
- it's -- there's A and B, do you see that at the top
- 3 there?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Okay. Under the small B, if you could
- 6 read that, please.
- 7 A. "The two independent data sets, MAUDE
- 8 report rates and bench testing results, contain
- 9 significant signals regarding vena cava filter
- performance related to migration."
- Q. Were you aware of that before you
- inserted this into my client?
- 13 A. No.
- MS. DAVIS: Same objections.
- 15 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Under the large B, could you read that
- for me, please?
- 18 A. "The independent consultant's report
- 19 concluded that the data and his analysis provided
- ²⁰ two significant signals that further investigation,
- 21 particularly in relation to migration and fracture,
- is urgently warranted. The consultant, however,
- 23 also cautioned that given the multiple known flaws
- in the data available, this analysis is
- insufficient to demonstrate conclusively that any

- 1 Mrs. Tinlin, had you had any occasion, that you
- 2 recall, to call Bard for information about this
- filter before you placed it in Ms. Tinlin?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Do you ever recall making any contact
- 6 with Bard --
- 7 A. No.
- Q. -- for the purpose of trying to obtain
- 9 additional information regarding their filters?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. At the time that you placed the filter in
- 12 Ms. Tinlin, would you have had any discussions with
- her about potential retrieval of the filter?
- 14 A. I can't remember.
- 15 Q. Is that something that you typically
- 16 discuss?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And what do you typically tell your
- 19 patients about potential retrieval of a retrievable
- 20 filter?
- A. In discussing, during the consent time,
- if we're going to place a retrievable filter, we
- would -- I would simply say that if we need to take
- this out and we're able to take it out, in general,
- 25 it can be removed.

- Q. Do you also typically tell your patients
- that the filter might be left permanently even
- 3 though it's a retrievable filter?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And it sounded like you also tell your
- 6 patients that even though it's a retrievable
- 7 filter, that there's a probability that it might
- 8 not be able to be retrieved?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. And do you believe that you would have
- 11 had such discussions with Mrs. Tinlin?
- 12 A. Most likely, yes.
- Q. Let's take another look at the consent
- 14 form, which is in the Exhibit 929. Do you have
- that in front of you?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And I know, in answering questions from
- counsel for Mrs. Tinlin, you've sort of explained
- what you normally discuss with patients before you
- 20 place filters. I just have a few more questions
- 21 about this. Do you recall either Mrs. Tinlin, or
- 22 anyone else who may have been present, making any
- questions of you -- or asking any questions of you
- 24 regarding this filter?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 the cause of any of her pain?
- 2 A. I would not have been asked to, nor would
- I have attempted to. I should clarify that by
- 4 saying we're asked to render opinions on images.
- 5 And the doctors who order the images, they take all
- 6 that information with the clinical and they make
- 7 diagnoses with our assistance. We're a consultant.
- 8 Q. So as far as diagnosing what was causing
- 9 any pain that Mrs. Tinlin was experiencing, am I
- 10 correct that that is not something that you would
- 11 do?
- 12 A. I assist the doctors who are actually
- taking care of the patient. The example would be
- if someone has a possible appendicitis and they
- order a CAT scan, I might say I see appendicitis,
- but that's doesn't mean that's what they have. I
- don't have the final word. They put in clinical
- data: does the patient have a fever, are the labs
- abnormal, and the final say is actually if they
- undergo operation would be the pathologist, was the
- 21 appendix inflamed or not. So we render opinions on
- images, but that has to go with the clinical
- 23 information as well.
- Q. And as far as any conclusions that you
- ever came to regarding Mrs. Tinlin, those would be

- 1 lungs is quite useful.
- Q. As you sit here today, do you see any
- reason that you would not have agreed with
- 4 Dr. Andrews as far as leaving the Recovery filter
- 5 in as a permanent filter?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Dr. Riebe, I believe there was an exhibit
- 8 that I failed to ask you some questions about so
- 9 far. It's Exhibit 920. It was one of the Bard
- documents that you were shown.
- 11 A. Yeah, the Wayback Machine. 920.
- 12 Q. Yes. It should say at the top health
- 13 hazard evaluation.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know what a health hazard
- 16 evaluation is?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. And are you familiar with the assessment
- 19 processes that a medical device company goes
- through in looking at complications or issues with
- their products?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. In looking at this exhibit that was shown
- to you, would it appear to you that Bard is
- 25 actively investigating the events described in this

- Q. Okay. I have such a protective order
- here with me, and I'll -- when we go off the
- record, I'll ask you to take a look at it and sign
- 4 it. And then --
- 5 A. I should -- if I have to sign two inches'
- 6 worth, then I'm not going to sign. That's a big
- ⁷ stack.
- 8 Q. No, that's not it. It's right here, if
- 9 you want to look at it.
- MR. GOLDENBERG: Can we do this after?
- MS. DAVIS: Sure, yeah. But this is it.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. This is --
- MS. DAVIS: But yes, we can do it after
- the deposition. Absolutely. I don't have any more
- 15 questions at this time.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MS. DAVIS: Thank you, Doctor.
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. I just have a few.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Doctor, again, Stuart Goldenberg. I just
- wanted to ask you, when you are talking with the
- patient about the risks and benefits of a device
- like the Bard IVC filter, the Recovery, you can

- only convey what you know; correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. If a company is hiding
- 4 important safety information, there's no way for
- 5 you to know that, is there?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MS. DAVIS: Object to the form.
- 8 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- 9 Q. I think we're all making an assumption
- here that vena cava filters can prevent blood
- 11 clots. Is that your understanding?
- 12 A. No, the vena cava filters can prevent
- 13 clots from moving to places where you don't
- 14 necessarily want them.
- Q. Good -- good point. And what's the basis
- 16 for that?
- 17 A. It's a mechanical basis.
- Q. But what medical studies are you aware of
- 19 that show that?
- MS. DAVIS: Could you let him finish his
- 21 answer?
- THE WITNESS: I'm not -- I'm not -- I
- don't know specific studies.
- 24 BY MR. GOLDENBERG:
- Q. Are you aware that there has been a great